

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/598,650	STARK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kuo-Liang Peng	1796	

All Participants:

(1) Kuo-Liang Peng.

(2) William G. Conger.

Date of Interview: 13 October 2009

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

15, 17, 19-20

Prior art documents discussed:

References of record

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Claims 15 and 17 are amended to fix indefiniteness issues. Claims 19-20 are deleted of which Applicants reserve the right to file continuing application to capture the instant embodiment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Kuo-Liang Peng/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)